IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 138 OF 2006

MRS. JULIA SOUZA MUKHERJEE AND ANR., ...Petitioners

Versus

MARMAGOA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY THROUGH ITS MEMBER SECRETARY AND 2 ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. D. Pangam, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri S.Paidyar, Advocate for R.1.
Mr. S.S. Kantak, Ag With Ms. K. Chodankar, Aga For R. 2
Mr. N.K. Sawaikar, Advocate for R.3.

Coram:- DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN & N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 9th October, 2006

P.C.:

Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

The learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 categorically states that within a period of two months, the respondent No.3 will find some other alternate place to shift the temple. It is made clear that if the respondent No.3 does not make such alternate arrangements within a period of two months, Respondent No.1 shall demolish the structure after the expiry of the said two months if the said temple is not shifted.

Writ Petition stands disposed of.

DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

sl.